



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairwoman Capito,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfroe  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairman Cassidy,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairwoman Collins,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Cornyn,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Cramer,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis







# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Curtis,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Daines,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairwoman Ernst,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis







# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Fischer,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Hawley,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Husted,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Hyde-Smith,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Justice,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis







# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairman Lankford,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Marshall,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator McCormick,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairman Moran,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Moreno,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis







# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Mullin,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairwoman Murkowski,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Ricketts,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Chairman Schmitt,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis



# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Sullivan,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Leader Thune,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan, Sen. Tillis





# NALC

**National Association  
of Letter Carriers**

BRIAN L. RENFROE President

100 Indiana Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20001-2144

202.393.4695

[www.nalc.org](http://www.nalc.org)

June 6, 2025

Dear Senator Tillis,

As a friend of letter carriers and a strong supporter of the Postal Service and the universal service we provide, I write to you with deep concerns regarding reconciliation provisions that would cut retirement benefits for 200,000 current, active city letter carriers employed by an independent agency that is not taxpayer funded.

Even though the Postal Service operates without taxpayer funding and postal employees contribute to their retirements every pay period, the House bill included eliminating the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Special Annuity supplement, a direct cut to a vital, earned letter carrier retirement benefit. The FERS annuity supplement bridges income for postal employees retiring early at their Minimum Retirement Age (55-57) until Social Security eligibility at 62.

As a leader who has always stood up for letter carriers, I ask you to ensure that eliminating the FERS annuity supplement is not included in the Senate package and oppose all proposals that would cut letter carrier retirement benefits.

Cutting postal employee retirement benefits does nothing to reduce the federal deficit or balance the budget. Therefore, any federal employee healthcare and retirement benefit cuts should not apply to off-budget postal employees.

The modest and stable retirement promised to and paid for by postal employees is in your hands. Please show your continued support and do not include any cuts to letter carrier healthcare and retirement benefits.

Sincerely,

Brian L. Renfro  
President  
National Association of Letter Carriers

CC: Leader Thune, Sen. Cornyn, Sen. Daines, Sen. Capito, Sen. Cassidy, Sen. Collins, Sen. Cramer, Sen. Curtis, Sen. Ernst, Sen. Fischer, Sen. Hawley, Sen. Husted, Sen. Justice, Sen. Lankford, Sen. Moran, Sen. Marshall, Sen. Mullin, Sen. Murkowski, Sen. McCormick, Sen. Moreno, Sen. Ricketts, Sen. Schmitt, Sen. Sullivan,